



**German Chemical Society  
Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker**

GDCh-Advisory Committee  
on Existing Chemicals of  
Environmental Relevance (BUA)

**Carbon disulfide**

BUA Report 83  
(August 1991)



S. Hirzel

Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft 1993

## GDCh-Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA)

### **Chairman:**

Prof. Dr. E. Bayer, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen

### **Members:**

Dr. G. Alfke, Mineralölwirtschaftsverband e. V., Hamburg  
Prof. Dr. K. Ballschmiter, Abteilung Analytische Chemie und Umweltchemie der Universität Ulm  
Dr. B. Broecker, HOECHST AG, Abteilung Umweltchemikalien/Verbrauchersicherheit, Frankfurt am Main  
Prof. Dr. O. Fränze, Geographisches Institut der Universität Kiel  
Prof. Dr. F. H. Frimmel, DVGW-Forschungsstelle am Engler-Bunte-Institut der Universität Karlsruhe  
Prof. Dr. H.-P. Gelbke, BASF AG, Toxikologie, Ludwigshafen a. Rh.  
Prof. Dr. H. Greim, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg (Vice Chairman)  
Dr. W. G. Haltrich, BASF AG, Emissionsüberwachung und Ökologie, Ludwigshafen  
Dr. H. Jungen, Deutsche Wissenschaftliche Gesellschaft für Erdöl, Erdgas und Kohle e. V., Hamburg  
Dir. und Prof. Dr. D. Kayser, Bundesgesundheitsamt, Berlin  
Prof. Dr. Dr. W. Mücke, Institut für Toxikologie und Umwelthygiene der TU München  
Prof. Dr. P. Müller, Institut für Biogeographie, Universität des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken  
Dir. und Prof. Dr. E. Offhaus, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin  
Dr. R. Ott, Deutsche Shell Chemie GmbH, Eschborn/Ts.  
MinRat Prof. Dr. U. Schlottmann, Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit, Bonn  
Dr. N. Schön, BAYER AG, LE Umweltschutz/AWALU, Leverkusen  
Vizepräsident Dr. A. Troge, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin

### **Guests:**

Dr. H. W. Kraus, Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit, Bonn  
Prof. Dr. R. Kümmel, Technische Hochschule Leuna-Merseburg  
Dr. J. Oberhansberg, BG Chemie, Heidelberg  
Dr. H. K. Schäfer, Initiative umweltrelevante Altstoffe, Frankfurt am Main

### **In collaboration with:**

Priv.-Doz. Dr. J. Ahlers, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin  
Dr. C. L. J. Braun, Akzo n.v., Arnhem/NL  
Dr. F. M. H. Debets, Akzo Chemicals b.v., Amersfoort/NL  
Dr. S. Ettel, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen  
Dr. H.-J. Jaroschek, Akzo Chemicals GmbH, Düren  
Prof. Dr. H. Kappus, Klinikum Rudolf Virchow der Freien Universität Berlin  
Frau Dr. I. Mangelsdorf, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg  
Dr. H. Roß, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin  
Frau Dr. H. Sterzl-Eckert, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg  
Dr. U. Stöcker, Akzo Faser AG, Wuppertal  
Dr. D. Vogel, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen  
Frau Dipl.-Biol. L. Weis, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen  
Frau Dr. K. Widmann, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen

### **GDCh Office:**

Dr. H. Behret, GDCh, Frankfurt am Main

# **Carbon disulfide**

BUA Report 83

(August 1991)

edited by the GDCh-Advisory  
Committee on Existing Chemicals  
of Environmental Relevance

Beratergremium für  
Umweltrelevante Altstoffe (BUA)



S. Hirzel

Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft 1993

Dr. H. Behret  
Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker  
Postfach 90 04 40  
D-60444 Frankfurt am Main

Translated by M. J. Blümich

This book was carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors and publisher do not warrant the information contained therein to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

The use of general descriptive names, trade names, trademarks, etc. in a publication, even if not specifically identified, does not imply that these names are not protected by the relevant law and regulations.

Die Deutsche Bibliothek — CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

**Carbon disulfide** / GDCh Advisory Committee on Existing  
Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA) - (August 1991).  
- Stuttgart: Hirzel ; Stuttgart : Wiss. Verl.-Ges., 1993  
(BUA report; 83)

ISBN 3-7776-0558-1

NE: Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker / Beratergremium für  
Umweltrelevante Altstoffe: BUA report

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without permission in writing from the publisher.

© 1993 S. Hirzel Verlag, Birkenwaldstraße 44, 70191 Stuttgart

Printed in acid-free and low-chlorine paper.

Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach  
Printed in F.R. Germany

## Foreword

The German Chemicals Act (Chemikaliengesetz - ChemG) of 1980 stipulates that certain existing chemicals must be reported to the competent authority, if they exhibit properties which indicate that they may be hazardous, either alone or in combination with other substances.

In the summer of 1982, an Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA) was set up by the German Chemical Society (Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker - GDCh). It brings together representatives from the scientific community, the chemical industry and the governmental authorities. This Advisory Committee is responsible for elaborating appropriate solutions for substances of relevance for health and the environment on the basis of voluntary measures. It selects and examines existing chemicals from the aforementioned angles. The testing and evaluation are based on scientific criteria alone.

It was, therefore, necessary to develop priority setting procedures. In a first phase reports were only prepared for priority chemicals. Within the framework of a first priority setting procedure, chemicals were compiled from several priority lists and 135 chemicals were selected for detailed substance reports.

In a second priority setting procedure the survey of the German Chemical Industry Association (VCI) on all substances with a production volume of more than 10 tons per year was used as a starting list. Since this survey covered 4,600 chemicals, BUA decided to process the corresponding list in several stages. The first stage included approx. 1,050 substances with a production volume of more than 1,000 tons per year.

Detailed reports are drawn up on chemicals suspected of having a hazard potential and abridged reports on those presenting only a minor hazard potential, according to the current state of knowledge.

The detailed BUA reports take in both the published literature and data from industry. If data for the evaluation of the chemicals are not available, additional studies are recommended and the results are published as updates to the reports. The reports serve as a basis for the instigation of administrative measures, when there are indications of risks to health or the environment.

Tübingen, May 1993

Ernst Bayer  
Chairman of the Advisory Committee  
on Existing Chemicals  
of Environmental Relevance

## Contents

<b>Summary and Conclusions .....</b>	<b>XI</b>
--------------------------------------	-----------

<b>Recommendations .....</b>	<b>XVI</b>
------------------------------	------------

### Carbon Disulfide

<b>1. Chemistry of Carbon Disulfide .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Chemical Identity .....	1
1.2 Composition of the Technical Product .....	2
1.3 Chemical Properties .....	2
<b>2. Physical Properties .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Analysis .....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 Sampling .....	7
3.2 Desorption, Extraction .....	7
3.3 Separation and Detection .....	8
3.4 Analysis of By-Products and Contaminants .....	9
3.5 Detection Limits .....	9
3.6 Determination in Air .....	10
3.7 Determination in Water .....	12
3.8 Determination in Soil, Sediment and Biological Material .....	12
<b>4. Emission into the Environment through Production, Processing, Use and Waste Disposal .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 Production Methods .....	13
4.2 Manufacturers and Processors, Production Yields, Export, Import and Total Consumption .....	13
4.3 Processing, Use, Quantities Used .....	17
4.3.1 Processing .....	17
4.3.1.1 Manufacture of Viscose Products .....	17
4.3.1.1.1 Production of Spinning Solution .....	17
4.3.1.1.2 Production of Viscose Products and Post-Treatment .....	18
4.3.1.2 Further Fields of Processing .....	21
4.3.2 Use .....	24
4.4 Emission into the Atmosphere .....	24

4.4.1	Emission during Production and Processing .....	24
4.4.1.1	Emission during Production .....	24
4.4.1.2	Emission during Processing .....	25
4.4.1.2.1	Viscose Industry .....	25
4.4.1.2.2	Other Processing Branches .....	35
4.4.2	Emission during Use .....	36
4.4.3	Emissions from Other Areas .....	36
4.5	Emission into the Hydrosphere .....	37
4.5.1	Emission during Production and Processing .....	37
4.5.1.1	Emission during Production .....	37
4.5.1.2	Emission during Processing .....	38
4.5.1.2.1	Viscose Industry .....	38
4.5.1.2.2	Other Processing Branches .....	38
4.5.2	Emission through Use .....	38
4.5.3	Emissions from Other Areas .....	38
4.6	Emissions into the Geo- and Biosphere .....	39
4.7	Emission through Wastes and Their Treatment .....	39
4.7.1	Viscose Industry .....	39
4.7.2	Other Processing Branches .....	39
4.8	Balance of Environmental Emissions .....	40
<b>5.</b>	<b>Environmental Occurrence .....</b>	<b>41</b>
5.1	Atmosphere .....	41
5.2	Hydrosphere .....	50
5.3	Geosphere .....	52
5.4	Biosphere .....	52
5.4.1	Food .....	52
5.4.2	Exposed Individuals .....	53
5.5	Natural Sources .....	54
<b>6.</b>	<b>Environmental Behaviour .....</b>	<b>62</b>
6.1	Transformation, Degradation and Degradation Products .....	62
6.1.1	Biodegradation .....	62
6.1.2	Hydrolytic Degradation .....	65
6.1.3	Photochemical Degradation in Air and Water .....	66
6.1.3.1	Photochemical Degradation in Air .....	66
6.1.3.2	Photochemical Degradation in Water .....	70
6.2	Accumulation .....	70

6.2.1	Bioaccumulation .....	70
6.2.2	Geoaccumulation .....	71
6.3	Distributional Behaviour and Transport Processes within and between Environmental Compartments .....	71
6.3.1	Henry's Law Constants .....	71
6.3.2	n-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient .....	71
6.3.3	Soil Sorption Coefficients .....	72
6.3.4	Plant CS Uptake from Air .....	72
6.4	Environmental Fate .....	73
<b>7.</b>	<b>Ecotoxicology .....</b>	<b>74</b>
7.1	Effects on Aquatic Organisms .....	74
7.1.1	Microorganisms .....	74
7.1.2	Plants .....	74
7.1.3	Invertebrates .....	75
7.1.4	Vertebrates .....	75
7.2	Effects on Terrestrial Organisms .....	77
7.2.1	Microorganisms .....	77
7.2.2	Plants .....	78
7.2.3	Invertebrates .....	78
7.2.4	Vertebrates .....	79
7.3	Effects on Ecosystems .....	79
<b>8.</b>	<b>Toxicity in Warm-Blooded Organisms .....</b>	<b>80</b>
8.1	General Effects .....	80
8.2	Mode of Action .....	80
8.3	Metabolism and Toxicokinetics .....	81
8.3.1	Experimental Animal .....	81
8.3.2	Human .....	85
8.4	Acute and Subacute Toxicity .....	87
8.5	Skin and Mucous Membrane Tolerance .....	88
8.6	Sensitization .....	88
8.7	Subchronic and Chronic Toxicity .....	92
8.8	Mutagenicity .....	103
8.9	Carcinogenicity .....	104
8.10	Reproduction Toxicity .....	104
8.11	Immune System Effects .....	108
8.12	Other Effects .....	108

8.13	Human Cases.....	108
8.13.1	Acute Intoxication.....	108
8.13.2	Chronic Intoxication.....	109
<b>9.</b>	<b>Substance-Specific Legal Regulations.....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>Literature.....</b>	<b>128</b>