



German Chemical Society
Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

GDCh-Advisory Committee
on Existing Chemicals of
Environmental Relevance (BUA)

Isodecanol

BUA Report 149

(December 1993)



S. Hirzel

Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft 1996

GDCh-Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA)

Chairman:

Prof. Dr. E. Bayer, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen

Members:

Dr. G. Alfke, Mineralölwirtschaftsverband e. V., Hamburg
Prof. Dr. K. Ballschmiter, Abteilung Analytische Chemie und Umweltchemie der Universität Ulm
Dr. R. Bias, BASF AG, Emissionsüberwachung und Ökologie, Ludwigshafen a. Rh.
Dr. B. Broecker †, HOECHST AG, Abteilung Umweltchemikalien/Verbrauchersicherheit, Frankfurt am Main
Prof. Dr. O. Fränzle, Geographisches Institut der Universität Kiel
Prof. Dr. F. H. Frimmel, DVGW-Forschungsstelle am Engler-Bunte-Institut der Universität Karlsruhe
Prof. Dr. H.-P. Gelbke, BASF AG, Toxikologie, Ludwigshafen a. Rh.
Prof. Dr. H. Greim, GSF — Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg (Vice Chairman)
Dir. und Prof. Dr. J. Hahn, Institut für Wasser-, Boden- und Lufthygiene des Umweltbundesamtes, Berlin
Dr. H. Jungen, Deutsche Wissenschaftliche Gesellschaft für Erdöl, Erdgas und Kohle e. V., Hamburg
Dir. und Prof. Dr. D. Kayser, Bundesinstitut für gesundheitlichen Verbraucherschutz und Veterinärmedizin, Berlin
Dr. W. Mühlhölzl, Bayerische Landesanstalt für Wasserforschung, München
Prof. Dr. P. Müller, Institut für Biogeographie, Universität des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken
Dir. und Prof. Dr. E. Offhaus, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin
Dr. R. Ott, Deutsche Shell Chemie GmbH, Eschborn/Ts.
MinRat Prof. Dr. U. Schlottmann, Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit, Bonn
Dr. N. Schön, BAYER AG, Umweltschutz/Produktsicherheit, Leverkusen
Vizepräsident Dr. A. Troge, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin

Collaborators and Guests:

Dr. K. H. Adlfinger, Initiative Umweltrelevante Altstoffe, Frankfurt am Main
Priv.-Doz. Dr. J. Ahlers, Fachgebiet IV 1.2 des Umweltbundesamtes, Berlin
Dr. S. Ettel, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen
Dr. J. Feltes, Fraunhofer-Institut für Toxikologie und Aerosolforschung, Hannover
Dr. R. F. Hertel, Fachgruppe 821 des Bundesinstituts für gesundheitlichen Verbraucherschutz und Veterinärmedizin, Berlin
Dr. H.-J. Klimisch, BASF AG, Toxikologie, Ludwigshafen a. Rh.
Dr. G. Könnecker, Fraunhofer-Institut für Toxikologie und Aerosolforschung, Hannover
Dr. J. Koppenhöfer, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen
Prof. Dr. R. Kümmel, Institut für Umwelt- und Sicherheitstechnik der Fraunhofer Management-Gesellschaft, Oberhausen
Frau Dr. I. Mangelsdorf, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg
Dr. J. Oberhansberg, BG Chemie, Heidelberg
Frau Dr. U. Reuter, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg
Dr. G. Rosner, Fraunhofer-Institut für Toxikologie und Aerosolforschung, Hannover
Dr. P. Stahnecker, BASF AG, Ludwigshafen a. Rh.
Frau Dr. H. Sterzl-Eckert, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg
Dr. D. Vogel, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen
Frau Dipl.-Biol. L. Weis, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen
Frau Dr. K. Widmann, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen

GDCh Office:

Dr. H. Behret, GDCh, Frankfurt am Main

Isodecanol

BUA Report 149

(December 1993)

edited by the GDCh-Advisory
Committee on Existing Chemicals
of Environmental Relevance

Beratergremium für
Umweltrelevante Altstoffe (BUA)



S. Hirzel

Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft 1996

Dr. H. Behret
Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker
Postfach 90 04 40
D-60444 Frankfurt am Main

Translated by R. Brown

This book was carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors and publisher do not warrant the information contained therein to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

The use of general descriptive names, trade names, trademarks, etc. in a publication, even if not specifically identified, does not imply that these names are not protected by the relevant law and regulations.

Die Deutsche Bibliothek — CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Isodecanol / GDCh Advisory Committee on Existing
Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA). [Tranl. by R.
Brown]. — (December 1993). — Stuttgart: Hirzel ; Stuttgart :

Wiss. Verl.-Ges., 1996

(BUA report; 149)

Dt. Ausg. u.d.T.: Isodecanol

ISBN 3-7776-0701-0

NE: Brown, R. [Übers.]; Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker /
Beratergremium für Umweltrelevante Altstoffe: BUA report

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without permission in writing from the publisher.

© 1996 S. Hirzel Verlag, Birkenwaldstraße 44, 70191 Stuttgart

Printed in acid-free and low-chlorine paper.

Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach
Printed in F.R. Germany

Foreword

The German Chemicals Act (Chemikaliengesetz - ChemG) of 1980 stipulates that certain existing chemicals must be reported to the competent authority, if they exhibit properties which indicate that they may be hazardous, either alone or in combination with other substances.

In the summer of 1982, an Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA) was set up by the German Chemical Society (Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker - GDCh). It brings together representatives from the scientific community, the chemical industry and the governmental authorities. This Advisory Committee is responsible for elaborating appropriate solutions for substances of relevance for health and the environment on the basis of voluntary measures. It selects and examines existing chemicals from the aforementioned angles. The testing and evaluation are based on scientific criteria alone.

It was, therefore, necessary to develop priority setting procedures. In a first phase reports were only prepared for priority chemicals. Within the framework of a first priority setting procedure, chemicals were compiled from several priority lists and 135 chemicals were selected for detailed substance reports.

In a second priority setting procedure the survey of the German Chemical Industry Association (VCI) on all substances with a production volume of more than 10 tons per year was used as a starting list. Since this survey covered 4,600 chemicals, BUA decided to process the corresponding list in several stages. The first stage included approx. 1,050 substances with a production volume of more than 1,000 tons per year.

Detailed reports are drawn up on chemicals suspected of having a hazard potential and abridged reports on those presenting only a minor hazard potential, according to the current state of knowledge.

The detailed BUA reports take in both the published literature and data from industry. If data for the evaluation of the chemicals are not available, additional studies are recommended and the results are published as updates to the reports. The reports serve as a basis for the instigation of administrative measures, when there are indications of risks to health or the environment.

Tübingen, May 1993

Ernst Bayer
Chairman of the Advisory Committee
on Existing Chemicals
of Environmental Relevance

Contents

Summary and conclusions	XI
--------------------------------------	-----------

Recommendations	XIV
------------------------------	------------

Isodecanol

1	Chemistry of the substance	1
1.1	Chemical identity	1
1.2	Composition of the technical product	2
1.3	Chemical properties	2
2	Physical properties	3
3	Analytical methods	6
3.1	Determination in air	6
3.2	Determination in water	6
3.3	Determination in soil, sediment and biological material	7
4	Discharge into the environment during manufacture, processing, use and waste disposal	8
4.1	Manufacturing techniques	8
4.2	Manufacturers and processors, production levels, exports, imports, total consumption	9
4.3	Processing, use, consumption	10
4.3.1	Processing	10
4.3.2	Use	12
4.4	Discharge into the atmosphere	13
4.4.1	Discharge during manufacture and processing	13
4.4.2	Discharge during use	13
4.5	Discharge into the hydrosphere	13
4.5.1	Discharge during manufacture and processing	13
4.5.2	Discharge during use	14
4.6	Discharge into the geosphere and biosphere	14
4.7	Discharge via waste and its disposal	14
4.8	Summary of discharge into the environment	14

5	Occurrence in the environment	16
5.1	Atmosphere	16
5.2	Hydrosphere	16
5.3	Geosphere	16
5.4	Biosphere	16
5.5	Natural sources	16
6	Behaviour in the environment	17
6.1	Transformation, degradation and degradation products	17
6.1.1	Biodegradation	17
6.1.2	Hydrolytic degradation	18
6.1.3	Photochemical degradation	19
6.1.3.1	Photochemical degradation in air	19
6.1.3.2	Photochemical degradation in water	19
6.2	Accumulation	20
6.2.1	Bioaccumulation	20
6.2.2	Geoaccumulation	20
6.3	Distribution behaviour and transport processes in and between environmental compartments	20
6.3.1	Henry's constant	20
6.3.2	n-Octanol/water partition coefficient	21
6.3.3	Soil-sorption coefficients	21
6.4	Fate in the environment	22
7	Ecotoxicology	23
7.1	Effects on aquatic organisms	23
7.1.1	Micro-organisms	23
7.1.2	Plants	24
7.1.3	Invertebrates	24
7.1.4	Vertebrates	24
7.2	Effects on terrestrial organisms	25
7.2.1	Micro-organisms	25
7.2.2	Plants	25
7.2.3	Invertebrates	25
7.2.4	Vertebrates	25
7.3	Effects on ecosystems	25

8	Toxicity to mammals	26
8.1	General nature of effects	26
8.2	Mode of action	26
8.3	Metabolism and toxicokinetics	26
8.4	Acute toxicity	26
8.5	Skin and mucous membrane tolerance	28
8.6	Sensitizing effect	28
8.7	Subacute, subchronic and chronic toxicity	29
8.8	Mutagenicity	29
8.9	Carcinogenicity	29
8.10	Reproduction toxicity	30
8.11	Miscellaneous effects	31
8.12	Experience in man	31
9	Substance-specific legal regulations	32
10	Literature	35