



**German Chemical Society
Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker**

GDCh-Advisory Committee
on Existing Chemicals of
Environmental Relevance (BUA)

Chloranil

(2,3,5,6-Tetrachloro-
2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione)

BUA Report 85
(February 1992)



S. Hirzel

Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft 1993

GDCh-Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA)

Chairman:

Prof. Dr. E. Bayer, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen

Members:

Dr. G. Alfke, Mineralölwirtschaftsverband e. V., Hamburg
Prof. Dr. K. Ballschmiter, Abteilung Analytische Chemie und Umweltchemie der Universität Ulm
Dr. R. Bias, BASF AG, Emissionsüberwachung und Ökologie, Ludwigshafen a. Rh.
Prof. Dr. O. Fränze, Geographisches Institut der Universität Kiel
Prof. Dr. F. H. Frimmel, DVGW-Forschungsstelle am Engler-Bunte-Institut der Universität Karlsruhe
Prof. Dr. H.-P. Gelbke, BASF AG, Toxikologie, Ludwigshafen a. Rh.
Prof. Dr. H. Greim, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg (Vice Chairman)
Dr. H. Jungen, Deutsche Wissenschaftliche Gesellschaft für Erdöl, Erdgas und Kohle e. V., Hamburg
Dir. und Prof. Dr. D. Kayser, Bundesgesundheitsamt, Berlin
Prof. Dr. P. Müller, Institut für Biogeographie, Universität des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken
Dir. und Prof. Dr. E. Offhaus, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin
Dr. R. Ott, Deutsche Shell Chemie GmbH, Eschborn/Ts.
MinRat Prof. Dr. U. Schlottmann, Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit, Bonn
Dr. N. Schön, BAYER AG, LE Umweltschutz/AWALU, Leverkusen
Vizepräsident Dr. A. Troge, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin

Guests:

Dr. K. H. Adlfinger, Initiative umweltrelevante Altstoffe, Frankfurt am Main
Prof. Dr. R. Kümmer, Technische Hochschule Leuna-Merseburg
Prof. Dr. Dr. W. Mücke, Institut für Toxikologie und Umwelthygiene der TU München
Dr. J. Oberhansberg, BG Chemie, Heidelberg

In collaboration with:

Priv.-Doz. Dr. J. Ahlers, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin
Dr. S. Ettl, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen
Dr. E. Futterer, Hoechst AG, Frankfurt am Main
Frau Dr. C. Gallikowski, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin
Frau Dr. K. Grein, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin
Dr. U. Kluge, Hoechst AG, Frankfurt am Main
Dr. W. Koch, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin
Frau Dr. I. Mangelsdorf, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg
Dr. G. Nölken, Hoechst AG, Frankfurt am Main
Frau Dr. H. Sterzl-Eckert, GSF - Institut für Toxikologie, Neuherberg
Dr. D. Vogel, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen
Frau Dipl.-Biol. L. Weis, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen
Frau Dr. K. Widmann, Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Tübingen

GDCh Office:

Dr. H. Behret, GDCh, Frankfurt am Main

Chloranil

(2,3,5,6-Tetrachloro-
2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione)

BUA Report 85

(February 1992)

edited by the GDCh-Advisory
Committee on Existing Chemicals
of Environmental Relevance

Beratergremium für
Umweltrelevante Altstoffe (BUA)



S. Hirzel

Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft 1993

Dr. H. Behret
Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker
Postfach 90 04 40
D-60444 Frankfurt am Main

Translated by M.-J. Blümich

This book was carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors and publisher do not warrant the information contained therein to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

The use of general descriptive names, trade names, trademarks, etc. in a publication, even if not specifically identified, does not imply that these names are not protected by the relevant law and regulations.

Die Deutsche Bibliothek — CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Chloranil/ ed. by the GDCh Advisory Committee on Existing
Chemicals of Environmental Relevance Stuttgart: Hirzel ;
Stuttgart : Wiss. Verl.-Ges., 1993
 (BUA report; 85)
 Dt. Ausg. u.d.T.: Chloranil
 ISBN 3-7776-0542-5
NE: Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker / Beratergremium für
 Umweltrelevante Altstoffe: BUA report

ISSN 0938-9393

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without permission in writing from the publisher.

© 1993 S. Hirzel Verlag, Birkenwaldstraße 44, 70191 Stuttgart

Printed in acid-free and low-chlorine paper.

Printing and binding: Zehnersche Buchdruckerei, Speyer
Printed in F.R. Germany

Foreword

The German Chemicals Act (Chemikaliengesetz - ChemG) of 1980 stipulates that certain existing chemicals must be reported to the competent authority, if they exhibit properties which indicate that they may be hazardous, either alone or in combination with other substances.

In the summer of 1982, an Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA) was set up by the German Chemical Society (Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker - GDCh). It brings together representatives from the scientific community, the chemical industry and the governmental authorities. This Advisory Committee is responsible for elaborating appropriate solutions for substances of relevance for health and the environment on the basis of voluntary measures. It selects and examines existing chemicals from the aforementioned angles. The testing and evaluation are based on scientific criteria alone.

It was, therefore, necessary to develop priority setting procedures. In a first phase reports were only prepared for priority chemicals. Within the framework of a first priority setting procedure, chemicals were compiled from several priority lists and 135 chemicals were selected for detailed substance reports.

In a second priority setting procedure the survey of the German Chemical Industry Association (VCI) on all substances with a production volume of more than 10 tons per year was used as a starting list. Since this survey covered 4,600 chemicals, BUA decided to process the corresponding list in several stages. The first stage included approx. 1,050 substances with a production volume of more than 1,000 tons per year.

Detailed reports are drawn up on chemicals suspected of having a hazard potential and abridged reports on those presenting only a minor hazard potential, according to the current state of knowledge.

The detailed BUA reports take in both the published literature and data from industry. If data for the evaluation of the chemicals are not available, additional studies are recommended and the results are published as updates to the reports. The reports serve as a basis for the instigation of administrative measures, when there are indications of risks to health or the environment.

Tübingen, May 1993

Ernst Bayer
Chairman of the Advisory Committee
on Existing Chemicals
of Environmental Relevance

Contents

Summary and Conclusions.....	XI
-------------------------------------	-----------

Recommendations.....	XVI
-----------------------------	------------

Chloranil

(2,3,5,6-Tetrachloro-2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione)

1. Chemistry of 2,3,5,6-Tetrachloro-2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione	1
1.1 Chemical Identity	1
1.2 Composition of the Technical Product	2
1.3 Chemical Properties	6
2. Physical Properties	9
3. Analysis	13
3.1 Determination in Air	14
3.2 Determination in Water	14
3.3 Determination in Soil, Sediment and Biological Material	14
4. Emission into the Environment from Production, Processing, Use and Waste Disposal	16
4.1 Production Methods	16
4.2 Manufacturers and Processors, Production Quantities, Export, Import, Total Consumption	18
4.3 Processing, Use, Quantities Used	19
4.3.1 Processing	19
4.3.2 Use	21
4.4 Emission into the Atmosphere	22
4.4.1 Emission during Production and Processing	22
4.4.2 Emission from Use	22
4.5 Emission into the Hydrosphere	23
4.5.1 Emission during Production and Processing	23
4.5.2 Emission from Use	24
4.6 Emission into the Geo- and Biosphere	24
4.7 Emission through Wastes and Their Treatment	25
4.8 Balance of Environmental Emissions	25

5.	Environmental Occurrence	27
5.1	Atmosphere	27
5.2	Hydrosphere	27
5.3	Geosphere	28
5.4	Biosphere	28
5.5	Natural Sources	29
6.	Behaviour in the Environment	30
6.1	Transformation, Degradation and Degradation Products	30
6.1.1	Biodegradation	30
6.1.2	Hydrolytic Degradation	31
6.1.3	Photochemical Degradation in Water and Air	33
6.2	Accumulation	35
6.2.1	Bioaccumulation	35
6.2.2	Geoaccumulation	36
6.3	Distributional Behaviour and Transport Processes in and between Environmental Compartments	36
6.3.1	Henry's Law Constant	36
6.3.2	n-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient	37
6.3.3	Soil Sorption Coefficients	37
6.4	Environmental Fate	37
7.	Ecotoxicology	39
7.1	Effects on Aquatic Organisms	39
7.1.1	Microorganisms	39
7.1.2	Plants	39
7.1.3	Invertebrates	39
7.1.4	Vertebrates	40
7.2	Effects on Terrestrial Organisms	40
7.2.1	Microorganisms	40
7.2.2	Plants	42
7.2.3	Invertebrates	44
7.2.4	Vertebrates	44
7.3	Effects on Ecosystems	44
8.	Toxicity in Warm-Blooded Organisms	45
8.1	General Effects	45
8.2	Mode of Action	45
8.3	Metabolism, Toxicokinetics	46

8.4	Acute Toxicity	46
8.5	Skin and Mucous Membrane Tolerance	48
8.5.1	Skin Tolerance	48
8.5.2	Mucous Membrane Tolerance	49
8.6	Sensitization	49
8.7	Subacute, Subchronic and Chronic Toxicity	50
8.8	Genotoxicity	52
8.9	Carcinogenicity	53
8.10	Reproduction Toxicity	54
8.11	Immune System Effects	55
8.12	Other Effects	55
8.13	Experience in Man	55
9.	Substance-Specific Regulations	56
9.1	Hazardous Substances Regulation, Labelling	56
9.2	Pentachlorophenol Prohibition Regulation	57
9.3	Storage and Transport	57
9.4	Waste Act	57
10.	Literature	58

BUA Report on Chloranil

(2,3,5,6-Tetrachloro-2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione)

Summary and conclusions

Ecological aspects

Occurrence and distribution in the compartments

In the Federal Republic of Germany, 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione (Chloranil) was manufactured until mid 1990 by the Hoechst AG in quantity of 400 - 500 t/a via chlorination of phenol. The quantity processed in the Federal Republic of Germany is estimated at about 400 t/a, 1989 included, 300 t/a being processed to pigments and 100 t/a to dyestuffs. Chloranil has not been used as a fungicide nor as a seed protectant in the Federal Republic of Germany.

Since the production at Hoechst AG has ceased, chloranil is manufactured by Rhône-Poulenc Chimie in France via chlorination of hydroquinone rendering a purer product than via the phenol process. In particular it contains less polychlorinated dibenzodioxins (PCDD) and dibenzofuranes (PCDF) (detectable toxicity equivalents TE approximately 6.35 µg/kg, non-detectable TEs inferior to 0.48 µg/kg). The existing analytical results, however, are not yet representative. Chloranil manufactured by the phenol process contained approximately 300 mg/kg of hepta- and octa chlorodibenzodioxins and -furanes.

The discharge of chloranil into the environment from the Hoechst manufacturing process corresponded to a maximum of 10 kg/a into the hydrosphere and less than 10 kg/a into the atmosphere.

The discharge of chloranil into the hydrosphere from processing to dyestuffs cannot be quantified but is supposed to be small because hydrolysis of chloranil takes place. Since the processing to pigments is carried out without the use of water, a small discharge of chloranil can take place only during plant cleansing operations. Waste from processing of chloranil to pigments is disposed of in an underground waste disposal unit. Used activated charcoal from production of dyestuffs is burnt up.

Sewage sludge from the treatment of chloranil processing effluents is discharged in authorized waste disposal units, there is no agricultural use.

Information on discharges of PCDD and PCDF into the environment cannot be given before there are reliable analytical data. Discharges into the hydrosphere are to be expected from the production of dyestuffs, from textile dyeing with the liquor-bath, and from paper recycling.

Chloranil is formed when tetrachlorohydroquinone is oxidized, the latter occurring as a metabolite of pentachlorophenol, hexachlorobenzene and lindane under ecologically relevant conditions.

Degradability

In the respirometric test chloranil proved to be not readily biodegradable. The test does not allow any conclusions whether biodegradation occurred or not owing to toxicity to bacteria. On the inherent biodegradability no studies are available.

At pH 7 chloranil is hydrolysed to trichlorohydroxybenzoquinone, at pH 9 to chloranilic acid, the reaction being accelerated by exposure to light. In aqueous buffer solution at 20 °C and pH 7 the half-life is about 2 days, whereas at pH 9 instantaneous elimination of chloranil takes place. Photolysis of chloranil in solvents produces tetrachlorobenzo-semiquinone as anionic radical.

As to the photochemically oxidative degradation of chloranil in the atmosphere, the half-life for the reaction with hydroxyl radical is calculated to be about 2 days; however, it is not environmentally relevant because of the low volatility of chloranil.

Accumulation

Basically a bioaccumulation potential must be taken into account because of calculated log P_{OW} values between 3 and 4.9, it is supposed not to be effective however, because of the instability and reactivity of chloranil.

Because of short-lasting sorption of chloranil onto the organic matter of the soil and rapid hydrolysis or metabolism only a temporary occurrence of chloranil in the environment has to be taken into account.

Ecotoxicology

In the respiration inhibition test the toxicity to bacteria of a mixed culture was determined to $EC_{20} = 135$ mg/l after 3-hour exposure, whereas the LC_{50} for *Pseudomonas fluorescens* amounted to 4.9 mg/l after exposure for 30 minutes.

Chloranil at a concentration of 1 mg/l causes 5 % growth inhibition in the algae *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* and *Phormidium inundatum*.

For water fleas (*Daphnia magna*) the 24-hr EC_{65} (immobilisation) is 0.36 mg/l.

For the golden ide, the 96-hr LC_0 amounts to 2.5 mg/l, the NOEC to 1.6 mg/l.

Following exposure to 0.5 to 2 mg/l for 1 to 10 days, larvae of the clawed toad *Xenopus laevis* showed abnormalities of the otolith, optic cup and pigmentation as well as sporadically convulsive and unbalanced movements. The LC_{50} was about 1.6 mg/l.

In the terrestrial environment the lowest concentration completely inhibiting growth of most of the investigated moldlike and yeastlike fungi was greater than 500 mg/l medium except one species in which it amounted to 246 mg/l.

Rhizobium japonicum showed no growth inhibition up to 1000 mg/l in the filter paper disc inhibition test on agar. Complete growth inhibition was reported for *Rhizobium phaseoli* exposed to a suspension of chloranil at a concentration of 50 mg/l medium.

No or minor germination disturbances in wheat were observed at application rates of 2 to 6 g/kg seed. Chromosomal abnormalities, mitotic disturbances and damages to seedlings appeared in the onion *Allium cepa* following contact with a suspension of 15 g/l water.

In soybeans, germination behavior was not impaired by chloranil up to a rate of application of 5 g/kg seed. Growth of fungi which has been found to be pathogenic to the soybean was inhibited with IC₅₀ values between 415 and 3200 mg/l agar.

In vitro inhibition of photosynthesis by chloranil is proven.

Toxicological Aspects

Apparently chloranil is not absorbed percutaneously. There are no studies available on the metabolism.

The irritating action to the skin is weak. To the eye, chloranil causes strong irritation and irreversible damage.

Characteristic of the acute oral intoxication of the rat by chloranil were watery diarrhoea, convulsions and, following lethal doses, depression of the central nervous system. For the rat, the oral LD₅₀ lies between 4.0 and 7.1 g/kg body weight.

Changes in blood pattern and pathologic findings in liver and kidney were observed in the rat following subacute and chronic oral administration.

In an insufficiently described 30-day inhalation study with chloranil dust, changes in the lung and histologic findings in brain, liver, kidney, heart and testicles were reported.

In plant tissues, chloranil induces changes of the chromosome form and aneuploid states. The Ames test performed at only low concentration of the chemical per plate gave no indication of a mutagenic effect. In the micronucleus test no increase in the number of micronuclei in polychromatic erythrocytes of mice has been observed.

A cancerogenicity study in mice administered a single dosage level of 215 mg chloranil/kg body weight/day with the feed for 18 months, indicated a tumorigenic effect in liver and lung of male animals. The numbers of individuals, however, were relatively small.

In an insufficiently documented study no indications of adverse effects to fertility following treatment of male rats were reported. In another study which cannot be validated, either, dystrophic changes of the spermatogenic epithelium were proven in rats after inhalation exposure to chloranil dust.

Recommendations

Ecology

A study on the inherent biodegradability is recommended. Because of the low solubility of chloranil in water, a respirometric test after an adaptation period of 4 weeks shall be performed instead of a standard test. Furthermore, a study on the acute toxicity to aquatic organisms, preferably to fish, with measurements of the effective concentrations is recommended, since the results of the available studies on toxicity to daphnids and fish are based only on nominal concentrations.

The manufacturers of dioxazine pigments and dyestuffs in the Federal Republic of Germany have promised to provide in the not-too-distant future representative analytical data concerning the dioxin contents of their products in order that the discharge of dioxins into the environment from those sources can be assessed. In addition determinations of the dioxin contents of representative batches of chloranil imported should be carried out.

Toxicology

A 28-day feeding study should be performed in order to determine target organs and NOEL, and to clarify indications e.g. of testicular injuries observed in previous studies.

For a further clarification of the genotoxicity the performance of a point mutation assay on mammalian cells (HGRPT test) is recommended. Thereupon it has to be considered whether further studies are necessary to verify the indications of a carcinogenic effect.

Well documented information on metabolism, sensitisation and reproductive toxicity is lacking. Since only minor exposure to this chemical is to be expected, these studies are not regarded as having priority.